San Miguel River Restoration

[Following is an abridged version of the two-volume San Miguel River Restoration Assessment, with emphasis given to information that pertains to the Valley Floor. Sections of Vol. I have been omitted, as have detailed examinations of the other four "priority restoration reaches" in Vol. II. The complete texts are available in the Wilkinson Public Library or online at The Trust for Land Restoration website. This assessment and the subsequent San Miguel Watershed Plan are documents that were spearheaded by the San Miguel Watershed Coalition, and they continue to be guiding documents for County government and organizations such as The Nature Conservancy.

In recent years, some restoration has been undertaken on the Valley Floor. As part of its consent decree with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for violating wetlands regulations, Telluride Ski & Golf Co. negotiated with San Miguel Valley Corporation for a mitigation site located on SMVC's Valley Floor property. Now under a conservation easement, the site is approximately 20 acres on the alluvial fan of Prospect Creek, a wetland area that was dewatered when Idarado Mining Company cut 17-foot trenches through it. In spring 2001, Telski began refilling the trenches, reconstructing stream channels and revegetating with native plants. The site will be monitored for the next four years to see if it recovers and meets EPA standards.]

San Miguel River Restoration Assessment SUMMARY

Submitted to San Miguel County, Colorado March 5, 2001

The Assessment was compiled by:

Patrick Willits The Trust for Land Restoration P.O. Box 743 Ridgway, CO 81432

I. San Miguel River Restoration Assessment Summary

The San Miguel River Restoration Assessment was conceived to merge scientific information with stakeholder consensus to analyze and prioritize possible restoration sites on the main stem of the San Miguel, and on major tributaries.

From its alpine headwaters to its desert confluence with the Dolores River eighty miles downriver, the San Miguel is one of Colorado's, indeed one of the West's, few remaining hydrologically-intact watersheds. Although there are some impoundments on tributaries, and one major diversion on the main stem downstream of Horsefly Creek, seasonal high flows remain sufficient to efficiently move sediment through the system, to form and maintain channel and floodplain, and to provide habitat for riparian plant regeneration.

The San Miguel River harbors one of the longest and highest quality stretches of deciduous and evergreen forests and shrublands (about 80 miles) in the western United States (Neely). Riparian areas are of great importance to biodiversity. Healthy riparian areas stabilize stream banks, maintain water quality and quantity, and provide habitat for wildlife species, including fish, neo-tropical migratory birds, and raptor bird species.

Riparian habitat in the United States has been severely impacted by human activity. Over 80% of America's riparian areas have disappeared (Neely). Still, the high-quality riparian communities found along the San Miguel survive, despite a variety of human activities that have degraded specific sites that alter hydrology, and impact and fragment riparian and aquatic habitat. This restoration assessment identifies those specific sites and the reaches

they lie within, and prioritizes them based on projected benefits of restoration to biodiversity.

In all, seventeen potential restoration sites were identified. Five reaches were selected as highest priority, though restoration of any of the sites identified is valuable and each should be pursued subject to local interest and opportunity.

A number of studies have documented the relative health and importance of the riparian communities within the San Miguel watershed, beginning with Bill Baker's 1986 Riparian Vegetation of the Montane and Subalpine Zones in Westcentral and Southwestern Colorado. Subsequent work by the Nature Conservancy and the Colorado Natural Heritage Program has confirmed the rarity of the San Miguel's riparian communities at the global scale (Kittel and Lederer; Lyon and Sovell). Intact hydrologic processes, particularly seasonal high flows, are critical for maintaining biodiversity values in the San Miguel watershed (Friedman).

Also interesting from an ecological perspective is that the river begins in the alpine zone of the Southern Rockies Eco-regional Province and flows into the high desert zone of the Colorado Plateau Eco-regional Province.

Assessment Objectives

The objectives of this assessment are to:

- 1) Identify elements of biodiversity and their condition, and the ecological and hydrological processes that sustain them;
- 2) Identify and prioritize restoration reaches and activities that will help restore and maintain these elements and processes.

To accomplish these goals, the assessment management team convened a science team to 1) consolidate and analyze biodiversity information; and 2) develop a list of disturbed sites; and 3) prioritize those sites according to projected benefits to the targeted biodiversity values.

The assessment management team sought to merge the priorities of the science team with those of local citizens via a program of facilitated stakeholder outreach. Watershed stakeholders were interviewed and/ or participated in facilitated meetings, and generated a list of prioritized restoration reaches.

Restoration Goals

Syntheses of the science team and stakeholders meetings yielded the following general restoration goals for the San Miguel River:

- 1) Restore healthy and diverse native habitat and populations, including: native, regenerating riparian plant habitat and communities; aquatic fish and insect habitat and communities; and native bird habitat;
- 2) Restore and maintain water quality;
- 3) Re-establish hydrologic processes, including channel migration and re-establishing the hydrologic connection between channel and floodplain.

To help meet these restoration goals, four general conservation recommendations are made for the entire watershed. They are:

- 1) Maintain seasonal high flows;
- 2) Re-connect river channel to floodplain where practical, removing dikes and other artificial impediments to flooding, and to natural channel migration;
- 3) Prohibit cows from accessing the river channel, and limit grazing in the riparian floodplain to ecologically appropriate times;
- 4) Control invasive weeds in the riparian zone.

One additional recommendation, specific to the upper watershed, is to study ice floes originating on the South Fork and scouring the channel and banks of the South Fork and mainstem for over twenty miles. Ice floes are a major impact to the health of the river. The intensity of ice releases and ice floes appear to be increasing, and may be related to winter water releases from the Ames Power Plant. If studies prove such to be the case, controlling or at least lessening the impact of ice floes may be possible by altering water releases from the Ames plant at critical times of the year (Groeneveld, personal communication).

It is the considered opinion of the science team that these recommendations will allow the San Miguel to regenerate and restore itself in all but the most extremely disturbed locations.

Protecting natural high flows, that is, allowing high flows to continue as a functioning process, is the single most important conservation recommendation of this report. It is also the most cost-effective. In reaches of the San Miguel requiring restoration, the river channel will restore itself, for the most part, if the natural hydrograph is respected and high flows are maintained (Andrews).

Reconnecting channel to floodplain is important because natural flooding improves riparian plant habitat, enables cottonwood regeneration (Fleener), redistributes nutrients, creates and recharges backwater habitat for native fish rearing. Reconnecting channel to floodplain also provides for lateral channel migration, which allows the channel to absorb energy, drop sediment, and to create and maintain riparian plant and aquatic habitat.

The impacts of degraded water quality often migrate downstream and are difficult to completely assess. They affect the health of the riparian plant and aquatic, particularly native fish, communities.

Priority Restoration Reaches

The three highest priority reaches, in order of greatest projected benefits to biodiversity, are:

- 1) San Miguel River, Dry Creek to Tabeguache Creek;
- 2) San Miguel River, Horsefly Creek to Cottonwood Creek:
- 3) Deep Creek and its tributaries;

The next highest priority reaches, with additional information needed, are:

- 4) Howard Fork of the San Miguel, Swamp Creek to Lake Fork:
- 5) Telluride Valley Floor, mainstem of the San Miguel, Butcher Creek to Prospect Creek.

II. Prioritized Reaches

Telluride Valley Floor San Miguel River, Butcher Creek to Prospect Creek Reach Description

This reach is the #5 highest priority for restoration, with important information needed to determine value of restoration to biodiversity.

Location:

USGS 7.5 minute quadrangles: Telluride Property Ownership: Most of the Telluride Valley Floor reach is in private ownership, an 800-acre parcel of private land held by one party, the San Miguel Valley Corporation, a subsidiary of a Denver company, Cordillera Corporation. About 70 acres and several hundred yards of river corridor, upstream of the

confluence of Mill Creek and the San Miguel River, is owned and managed by the U.S. Forest Service. Telluride Ski and Golf Company holds an easement on 20 acres of land on the Prospect Creek alluvial fan for the purpose of restoring wetlands.

Known locally as "the Valley Floor," the property has long been the subject of local debate and controversy surrounding potential development, culminating in the Town of Telluride's current contemplation of condemnation. It is not the intent of the river restoration assessment to comment on, attempt to influence, or otherwise be involved in any of the controversy surrounding the Valley Floor. Rather, stakeholders participating in the assessment emphasized that all parties in the Valley Floor conflict generally agree the San Miguel River has been altered and impacted by past human activity, and that restoration is required. It bears repeating here that stakeholders as a group feel strongly that restoration activities anywhere in the San Miguel watershed should be undertaken only with the consent and cooperation of the landowner.

General Description: The Telluride Valley Floor, San Miguel River, Butcher Creek to Prospect Creek is an approximately three mile segment of the San Miguel River west and adjacent to the Town of Telluride.

Among the impacts to the river in this reach are: a built-up railroad grade that forces the river channel to the south side of the property for a distance of about 1.5 miles; trenches cut into the wetlands; grazing; and more than 20 acres of mine tailings left behind in the floodplain by a shallow reservoir that once inundated the west end of the site.

When river restoration on the Valley Floor will occur and by whom is not clear. Stakeholders felt that, regardless of who owns the property or what the use of the property is, river restoration is needed and will someday need to be undertaken. Because of this, and because the Valley Floor is the largest wetland complex in the watershed, the stakeholders regarded restoration of this reach as a high priority. The science team noted that, aside from the political controversies surrounding the property, scientific questions remain. What is the biodiversity significance of the property? Are water quality impacts from the tailings on the property migrating downstream, and if so, what is the affect of those impacts to downstream flora and fauna?

Are flora and fauna in the reach being impacted by the tailings? How should the tailings be remediated?

The abandoned railroad grade confines the channel, eliminating natural flooding and lateral channel migration. Hampering any attempt to remove the railroad grade is the fact that the Town of Telluride's sewer line, connecting the town to the waste treatment plant west of Highway 145, lies in the grade. If the railroad grade is removed someday, in-channel construction would likely be required to re-create sinuosity and channel meander. Unlike other reaches with steeper gradient, the San Miguel through the Valley Floor is a low gradient, lower volume river. Whereas seasonal high flows will allow the channel to restore itself in other stretches of the San Miguel in 10 to 20 years, natural recovery within the Valley Floor reach will require 50 to 60 years or longer (Andrews, personal communication).

Remediation of the Valley Floor tailings is addressed in the Idarado consent decree that settled the lawsuit brought by the State of Colorado against Newmont Mining Company, the owners of the Idarado Mill and mine complex, east of Telluride. The decree gave Newmont and the property owners until December 31, 2000, to either remove tailings or to stabilize and cap them. However, no remediation has been undertaken to date. The landowner has not given Newmont access to the property to perform the remediation, nor has the landowner submitted its own plan (Price, personal communication).

A fundamental question regarding the tailings in the floodplain is: if the tailings are left in place, stabilized and capped, what flooding or lateral channel movement allow renewed metals loading into the river? Likewise, a corollary question is: will restoration-related construction and disturbance in the channel result in releasing metals stored in the channel bottom, renewing loading?

Unrelated to the Idarado consent decree is the proposed restoration of 20 acres of wetlands on the Prospect Creek alluvial fan and the filling of 3 trenches that were cut across the fan in 1970, in preparation for additional tailings disposal by Idarado. Telluride Ski and Golf Company has acquired an easement to allow the company to perform the restoration as part of its wetlands remediation plan (Hazen, personal

communication). Work is expected to begin in 2001.

The San Miguel River, from Marshall Creek (just west of the Idarado Mill) to the South Fork confluence, including the Valley Floor reach, is listed by the State of Colorado as a Section 303(d) river, exceeding Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) guidelines, and it is not expected to meet applicable water quality standards with technology-based controls alone.

The San Miguel was included on the Colorado 303(d) List, as partially supporting aquatic life, due to high levels of dissolved cadmium, manganese, zinc and sediment. High siltation from urban runoff is identified as a primary contributing non-point source. During the period of late winter/early spring runoff, high siltation from urban street runoff and low flow in the San Miguel River causes a buildup of silt that covers the streambed. When the problem was first identified, it was suspected that sediment was filling the interstices of the gravel bed and likely smothering benthic macroinvertebrates and trout fry (Colorado Water Quality Control Division).

The Town of Telluride has begun to implement a plan to control and reduce sediment load in the river, including: designing a stormwater retention system utilizing a constructed wetland; managing snowmelt from the Town of Telluride snow storage facility located on the west side by directing collected snowmelt through a series of managed wetlands to filter the water prior to entering the river; and restoring a 0.7 mile stretch of the river from below the confluence with Bear Creek to Fir Street, adjacent to Town Park. The goals of the river restoration project are to restore aquatic, wetland and riparian habitat; improve river hydraulics; and balance sediment movement throughout the channel. River restoration and construction began in September, 2000, and has met unanticipated public criticism and complaints related to construction-related increased turbidity.

Restoration Recommendation

- 1) Cooperate with the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment to analyze: metal loading in the channel and in the Valley Floor tailings; potential for metals release from in-channel mechanical manipulation; impacts of metals contamination to flora and fauna in the Valley Floor reach; impacts of metals contamination to flora and fauna downstream of Valley Floor reach.
- 2) Analyze flora within the reach to determine biodi-

versity value.

- 3) Cooperate with the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment and landowner to remove tailings.
- 4) Encourage filling drainage trenches.
- 5) Cooperate with landowner and Town of Telluride to relocate sewer line and remove railroad grade.
- 6) Engineer and perform in-channel construction to restore sinuosity and meander.

Biodiversity Targets: Valley Floor

| Element, Common Name | G rank | S rank |
|---|--------|--------|
| Populus angustifolia-Picea Montane riparian forest | G3 | S3 |
| pungens/Alnus incana | | |
| Salix geyeriana-Salix Montane riparian willow carr | GU | S3 |
| monticola/mesic graminoid | | |

Local Considerations

Stakeholders emphasized the following point:

It is not the intent of the river restoration assessment to comment on, attempt to influence, or otherwise be involved in any of the controversy surrounding the Valley Floor. Almost all of the land in this reach is privately owned. Any conservation actions on private property must be undertaken with the willing consent of the landowner, and the landowner must be fairly compensated for the use of the property.

IV. **Conservation Targets**

Identifying elements of biodiversity as the conservation targets and the processes that sustain them is critical to evaluate potential environmental benefits of restoration activities. Several studies were relied on to understand conservation targets in the San Miguel watershed.

The Nature Conservancy's San Miguel River Site Conservation Plan (Neely et al., July 1999) compiles data of terrestrial and aquatic plants and animals. This conservation plan relies upon information and biodiversity rankings compiled by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program, and served as the primary source/ summary of biodiversity information for the river restoration assessment.

The Colorado Natural Heritage Program's A Natural Heritage Assessment: San Miguel and Western Montrose Counties, Colorado (Lyon and Sovell, 2000) identifies the localities of rare, threatened, or endangered species and the locations of significant natural plant communities. The three highest priority reaches in this assessment were each identified by the Lyon and Sovell study as "Potential Conservation Areas."

TNC's conservation plan incorporates information on aquatic species compiled by the Aquatic Community Classification Pilot for the San Miguel Watershed (Reed et al, 1998). The river restoration assessment ordered this study. It researched and analyzed original fish sampling records data, though fish sampling has not been conducted in the watershed in a systematic and regular manner, and has most often been targeted at non-native, cold-water game species such as rainbow trout. The pilot project combined the limited fish data with other ecological and geological characteristics to describe the variety and distribution of aquatic macrohabitats in the watershed.

To address the scarcity of fish data, the river restoration assessment team commissioned an electrofish sampling at five locations on the main stem of the San Miguel. The Colorado Division of Wildlife conducted this sampling October 1999. Results are reported in the CDOW's San Miguel River Fisheries Inventory, Creel Census and Shocking History (Hebein, 1999).

The USGS report, Hydrology, Geomorphology, and Sediment Transport of the San Miguel River, Southwest Colorado (Allred and Andrews, 2000) assembled baseline hydrologic data, and documents the timing and magnitude of flood events during historic times.

The USGS report, High Flow and Riparian Vegetation Along the San Miguel River, Colorado (Friedman and Auble, 2000) relates variables in hydrologic regime with riparian health.

Richard Madole's study, Preliminary Report on the Geology and Recent Geologic History of the San Miguel River Valley. Southwestern Colorado, analyzed four study reaches selected by the restoration assessment science team. It identifies and maps changes in the river channel, flood plain, low terraces and valley-floor deposits over the past half-century. This study was funded, in part, by the San Miguel River Restoration Assessment grant.

Together, these studies recognize the San Miguel as harboring one of the longest and highest-quality stretches of high-quality deciduous and evergreen riparian forests and shrublands in the western United States. These studies also establish the ecological process, and the hydrologic and geomorphologic context in which these riparian communities exist.

The watershed supports at least eleven known globally rare riparian plant communities, 9 high-quality examples of more common plant communities, 6 globally rare animals (including 2 fish), 16 globally rare plants, and 12 declining species (including 2 fish). Declining species are species declining through all or a significant part of their ranges (Neely).

VI. Human Context

The 1,550 square mile San Miguel watershed lies within parts of two counties, San Miguel and Montrose. An estimated 7,000 people live in the watershed. People are organized geographically, politically and economically in ways that somewhat resemble the changes taking place throughout the western United States.

Communities in the upper watershed such as Telluride and Mountain Village have developed with significant cultural and philosophical differences compared to communities in the lower watershed, including Nucla and Naturita. The community of Norwood lies in between, geographically, economically, and philosophically.

The towns of Telluride and Mountain Village dominate the upper watershed. Telluride is the county seat for San Miguel County. The economy of the upper watershed can be described as a resort and a resort construction economy. Residents tend to embrace more urban and more liberal values compared to residents in the lower watershed. The economy is driven by resort, recreation and construction-associated activities. About 4,000 people live in the upper watershed. Over 50% of the residential dwelling units are lived in part-time.

The watershed becomes more rural as one travels downstream. Norwood lies on the northern edge of San Miguel County. Redvale, Nucla and Naturita are in western Montrose County, separated from the rest of Montrose County and the county seat (Montrose) by the Uncompahgre Plateau. People tend to be more conservative. Agriculture, including ranching and farming, is a higher percentage of the economic activity, though many residents commute to Telluride to

work, mostly in construction and in the service sector. Mining dominated the economy of Naturita until the early 1980's. Current mining-related activity is focused on clean up and remediation, as opposed to actual mining.

Conservation Activities

The Nature Conservancy established its first nature preserve along the San Miguel River in 1987, thanks to a gift of land to TNC from Umetco Minerals Corporation. TNC has since expanded its initial San Miguel River preserve and established two additional preserves along the river. Today, the three TNC San Miguel River preserves total about 1,000 acres and comprise nearly 10 miles of river corridor. These three preserves, together, harbor highly ranked occurrences of rare riparian plant communities; including New Mexico privet foothills riparian shrubland, Fremont's cottonwood/Skunkbrush/ Coyote willow riparian shrubland, Narrowleaf cottonwood/Blue Spruce/Alder montane riparian forest, and Western river birch/mesic graminoid lower montane riparian communities (Lyon and Sovell). TNC first staffed the preserves in 1990 with a seasonal preserve manager. TNC currently staffs an office in Telluride year round with a full-time program manager and a full-time stewardship coordinator.

The Bureau of Land Management's Resource Area Office is in Montrose. The BLM designated 33,000 acres as an Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) and Special Recreation Management Area (SRMA) in 1992. 65% of the land in the San Miguel watershed is publicly owned (35.2% U.S. Forest Service; 26.9% BLM; 2.4% State). The Forest Service's District Ranger Station is in Norwood.

The San Miguel Watershed Coalition

In 1993, the Telluride Institute convened the first meeting of stakeholders in the upper watershed, and helped form what was then called the San Miguel River Coalition.

Beginning the following year, the National Park Service Rivers and Trails Program facilitated a series of meetings of stakeholders from the entire watershed, leading to the broadening of the River Coalition into the San Miguel Watershed Coalition. Members of the Watershed Coalition then embarked upon a two-year collaborative process to write and distribute the 1998 San Miguel Watershed Plan. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency contributed funds to partially pay

for the plan's publication. The Watershed Coalition has non-profit, 501(c) 3 status.

The San Miguel Watershed Plan is not, nor was it ever meant to be, a regulatory document. Mostly, the plan is a tool to facilitate stakeholder collaboration. The mission statement of the coalition states: "Through a process of collaborative planning and substantive public involvement, the San Miguel Watershed Coalition will help identify, prioritize, and facilitate action that will conserve and enhance the natural, cultural, and recreational resources and the social and economic vitality of our communities. The Coalition will provide a forum for agencies, jurisdictions, interest groups and individuals to discuss issues and opportunities on an ongoing basis" (San Miguel Watershed Plan, p. 8). It is in this spirit that this river restoration assessment was undertaken, hence the inclusion of the stakeholder outreach component in this river restoration assessment.

The San Miguel Watershed Plan divides issues into five themes: Growth and Community Preservation; Water; Natural Resources; Recreation; and Education and Stewardship. Several objectives and potential actions under the themes of Water and Natural Resources provide the context for a river restoration assessment, including the following:

Objective: Achieve a sustainable condition to the Basin's river, riparian and wetland environments, and the uplands surrounding them (San Miguel Watershed Plan, p. 31).

Potential Action: Support the development of restoration plans on high priority sites, based on condition, threat and importance, to re-establish stable channel geometry and healthy riparian vegetation, and to prevent future stream channelization (Plan, p. 31).

Objective: Protect the ecological as well as human health and safety values of floodplains (Plan, p. 32).

Potential Action: Support the restoration and maintenance of floodplains as well as explore options and incentives to reduce risk to property (Plan, p. 32).

Objective: Maintain and where possible restore natural plant and animal communities in ways that are consistent with watershed objectives (Plan, p. 34).

Potential Action: Support and undertake appropriate restoration efforts (e.g. Colorado River cutthroat trout and Gunnison sage grouse). Identify high priority areas for reintroduction (Plan, p. 34).

Objective: Minimize non-point source pollution of surface and ground water from sediment, biological pathogens, excess nutrients, urban pollutants, heavy metals and hazardous wastes...(Plan, p. 27).

Potential Action: Support restoration of unstable river reaches to reduce sediment loading and/or promote healthy riparian areas (Plan, p. 27).

VII. Disturbed Sites

Human Impacts

One hundred and twenty years of intense human use, including mining, road building, logging, agriculture, and, in more recent times, intensifying recreational use and resort development have taken a toll on the diverse, native riparian plant communities and on aquatic communities throughout the watershed. Fragmentation, sedimentation, competition, pollution, and altered hydrology are consequences of this human activity.

In the upper watershed, hard rock mining remnants, including tailings and waste rock piles, and open adits continue to degrade water quality, although most mining activity ended a half century ago. Road building, use and maintenance contributes to sedimentation, and in places, contributes to straightening and widening of the stream channel. Gravel mining, though now mostly discontinued, has severely impacted the river channel in places. Catastrophic ice floes events, perhaps enabled by altered winter stream flows related to hydroelectric power generation, scour the stream channel. Snowmaking depletes water tables, and reduces wintertime base flows. Non-native fish species, including rainbow trout, compete with native species for food and habitat.

In the lower watershed, dikes and riprap, in places, prevent flooding and inhibit channel migration. Livestock grazing along portions of the river is harming native vegetation, increasing stream-bank erosion and contributing to straightening and widening of the stream channel, and warming of the water. Invasive exotic weeds, brought into the watershed by people and livestock, crowd out native plants, and, during the growing season, transpire tens of thousands of gallons of water a day out of the aquifer. Agricultural diversions below Horsefly Creek, in low water years, nearly dewater sections of the mainstem.

Remediation and mine clean up has occurred at some sites within the watershed, most notably at the

Vancorum site downstream of Naturita, and at the Umetco Mill site at Uravan. At Uravan, mill tailings were relocated out of the floodplain to an upland hill-top in 1989, removing what some biologists have called a "biological dam" that deterred native fish migration to and from the Dolores River. The Dolores itself continues to improve as native fish habitat, due to salinity control projects and summer-long water releases from McPhee Dam, over 100 miles upstream on the Dolores from its confluence with the San Miguel.

Throughout the watershed, impacts from development are potentially a threat to biodiversity values. Road building and road maintenance, home building (including septic system operation), golf course building and operation, fire suppression, ground water pumping and stocking of ponds with non-native fish are all threats related to development.

Taking into account the conservation targets and variety of impacts to those targets, a list of disturbed sites was developed. The list was initially compiled at a meeting of the assessment science team in December 1998. It was then refined by considerable effort, including exhaustive on-site investigation by Willits,

Andrews, and Groeneveld (April 1998-September, 1999), aerial reconnaissance (Groeneveld; September, 1999 and October, 2000), personal interview, and stakeholder outreach workshops (Willits; May 2000-July 2000).

The stakeholders prioritized the disturbed site list at a workshop held near Norwood on July 13, 2000. The prioritized list became the substance of the final prioritized list for the restoration assessment after it was reviewed by the science team and evaluated based on restoration targets, expected benefits to biodiversity, and information needs.

REFERENCES and RESOURCES

Allred, T.M.; and Andrews, E.D. 2000. Hydrology, Geomorphology, and Sediment Transport of the San Miguel River, Southwest Colorado. US Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 00-4075, Washington DC.

Andrews, E.D. (Ned) 2000. River Mechanics Project, USGS Boulder, Colorado. Personal Communication. eandrews@usgs.gov

Baker, W.L. 1986. Riparian Vegetation of the Montane and Subalpine Zones in Westcentral and Southwestern Colorado. Prepared for the Colorado Natural Areas Program and The Nature Conservancy, Boulder, CO.

Results of Stakeholder prioritization: PRIORITY (10=HIGHEST) SITE NAME Howard Fork 10 9 Valley Floor Deep Creek Horsefly Creek to Pinyon Bridge Calamity Creek to Tabeguache Creek 7 Vanadium/Big Bear Creek San Miguel Canyon Naturita Vancorum Down Valley (Placerville and Mesa Development) 6 Keystone Hill Cascabel Fishing Club Uravan Telluride Gravel Sawpit BLM Tram Site Applebaugh County/BLM Leopard Creek/Omega Mine

| Table 6: Disturbed and Degraded Sites: | Biodiversity Values | Key Questions |
|--|--|--|
| Howard Fork Acid mine drainage, draining adits Mine waste Water quality impacts Mix of public and private property | High biodiversity value downstream Water quality, impacting river corridor many miles downstream Wetlands Riparian plant communities Aquatic communities | What are the impacts to the river and how far downstream do those impacts migrate? Multiple owners of acid draining mines Not a Superfund site, but on National Priorities List Complex site to successfully remediate with major environmental liability issues. Where would funding come? Who would lead and coordinate? |
| 2) South Fork Ice flow events Scour banks, increase erosion | High quality riparian plant communities, bird & fish habitat Channel integrity Hydrologic processes Fish habitat | Are these events occurring recently? Are human activities causing these events? How far downstream are the impacts migrating? |
| 3) Telluride Gravel Confluence of South Fork and Mainstem Privately-owned gravel mine | Hydrologic processes Recreational fishery Public education opportunity | Are impacts still migrating upstream and/or downstream? USFS enforcement action done USFS required remediation done Company recently sold to United Gravel Restoration would put back "hard" river "park" |
| 4) Valley Floor Mainstern of the San Miguel West of Town of Telluride Dikes, channelization Overgrazing | Wetlands Hydrologic processes: Re-establish channel to floodplain Riparian plant communities Recreational fishery | Conflict between landowner & Town of Telluride over development plans Do impacts migrate downstream? Restoration would need to balance recreational use & development Developer is committed to some restoration Community committed to restore w/recreational |
| Town of Telluride River Restoration | Included Telluride's 303(d) TMDL strategy. Work to begin Fall, 2000 | Not included in San Miguel River Restoration Assessment |
| 5) Keystone Mainstern of San Miguel West of Society Turn to S. Fk. Confluence Mine tailings in floodplain | Hydrologic Processes Recreational fishery Riparian plant communities Water quality | Impacts unknown Tailings reclamation still being negotiated Hydro-electric facility proposed |
| 6) Deep Creek Tributary to San Miguel Remnant population of A- Cutthroat Mix of public and private property | Native cutthroat trout Riparian plant communities Water quality | Heavy ORV & other recreational use Mix of public land with multiple private Increasing residential development Source water for Aldasoro residential development Draining mine adit on East Fork |
| Disturbed Site | Resource values/benefit | Socio-political factors |
| 7) Vanadium- Confluence, Big Bear Creek & San Miguel mainstem Vanadium millsite Mostly private property | Water quality Native plant communities Recreational fishery | Impacts unknown Mix of public & private Mine tailings dispersed Tailings along Silver Pick Rd Information on impacts of tailings needed |
| 8) Sawpit Tram Site: BLM Mine Waste | Water quality | Impacts unknown BLM ownership May be easily remediated |

| 9) Applebaugh 55 acre site downstream of Fall Creek Proposed regional park, now owned by San Miguel County and BLM | Hydrologic processes: Re-establish channel migration Recreational fishery Recreation Public education potential | Now under County/BLM ownership Multiple recreation demands Limited restoration benefit |
|--|---|--|
| 10) Down Valley Fall Creek to Placerville All private property | Water quality Riparian plant communities | Concentrated use of septic systems Heavy development pressure Concern of downstream water users Road building and weed issues Do impacts migrate downstream? |
| 11) Leopard Creek Omega Mine Site Site acquired by BLM 1999. Upstream from confluence w/San Miguel | Unique aquatic macrohabitat Water quality Aquatic communities | Limited restoration benefit Site now owned by BLM Need to get info from BLM |
| 12) San Miguel Canyon Placerville to Norwood Bridge Mostly BLM, w/some private, TNC Dikes, diversions, berms, Debris | High quality riparian communities Hydrologic processes: Re-establish channel migration Recreational fishery, boating Native plant communities | Multiple sites w/variety of small problems in river corridor (dikes, dams, debris, diversions), unclear of cumulative impacts Need a site by site evaluation |
| 13) Cascabel Fishing Club Downstream of Norwood Bridge | Re-establish channel migration Recreational fishery Native plant communities | Channelization, construction of off channel reservoir w/ warm water exotic fish species New 35 acre parcel subdivision |
| 14) Horsefly Ck to Pinyon Bridge (Cottonwood Ck) 3 miles private, 4 miles BLM | Native fish habitat restoration potential Riparian restoration potential Hydrologic processes Native plant communities Recreational fishery Aquatic communities | Overgrazing on private land Broadening channel Stream braiding Exotic plant invasion Uninterested private landowner |
| 15) Naturita Naturita Creek to Dry Creek All private property | Rare aquatic macrohabitat Native fish restoration potential High Quality riparian plant communities | Tamarisk & other exotic plants Current & future gravel mining Heavy development |
| 16) Dry Creek to Calamity Creek AKA Vancorum All private property Recently reclaimed vanadium mill | Rare aquatic macrohabitat Native fish restoration potential High Quality riparian plant communities Hydrologic processes: Re-connect channel to floodplain | UMTRA clean up site Multiple mining companies owned Interest in recreational acquisition by Naturita for golf course |
| 17) Calamity Creek to Tabeguache Creek All private, incl. TNC | Rare aquatic macrohabitat Native fish restoration potential High Quality riparian plant communities Hydrologic processes: Re-connect channel to floodplain | 3 miles owned by unwilling private landowner 7 miles owned by TNC Unsupportive County Commissioner Tamarisk Dikes |
| 18) Uravan Superfund Remediation in Progress All private: Owned by Umetco Minerals Uravan was not evaluated as a potential restoration site because of current remediation activities. | Rare aquatic macrohabitat Native fish restoration potential Rare Plant occurrences Hydrologic processes Water quality issues | Superfund site Numerous abandoned uranium mines Tamarisk County in line for title to ball field (2003) Proposed RV Campground |

Boyd, Jim. Resource Conservation Specialist, San Miguel Basin Soil and Water District, US Natural Resource Conservation Service, Norwood, CO. j.boyd@co.usda.gov

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division 1999. Site Inspection Analytical Results Report: Carbonero Mine and Ophir Mining District, San Miguel County, Colorado. Denver, CO.

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division 2000. Total Maximum Daily Load Assessment: San Miguel River. Denver, CO.

Ferrick, M.G.; and Murphy, D. Unpublished. Investigation of River Ice Process on the San Miguel River, CO. US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH.

Fleener, G.B. 1997. Hydrologic and Geomorphic Aspects of Riparian Forest Ecology on the Lower San Miguel River, Colorado. Unpublished PhD dissertation. University of Colorado, Boulder, CO.

Friedman, J.M.; and Auble, G.T. 2000. High Flow and Riparian Vegetation Along the San Miguel River, Colorado. US Geological Survey, Midcontinent Ecological Science Center, Fort Collins, CO.

Groeneveld, David P. 2000. An Overview of Recent Bank Instability on San Miguel River. Report submitted to San Miguel River Restoration Assessment and San Miguel County, Telluride, CO.

Groeneveld, David P. 2000. Hydro-Biological Consulting, Santa Fe, NM. Personal Communication. dgroen@hubwest.com

Gusey, Daryl 2000. Abandoned Mine Coordinator, USDA Forest Service, Lakewood, CO. Personal Communication. <u>dgusey@fs.fed.us</u>

Hazen, Chris. GIS mapping, Telluride Institute. Telluride, CO. $\underline{\text{cha-zen@montrose.net}}$

Hebein, Sherman 1999. San Miguel River Fisheries Inventory, Creel Census and Shocking History. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Montrose, CO.

Hebein, Sherman 2000. Fisheries Biologist. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Montrose, CO. Personal Communication. sherman. hebein@state.co.us

Hitchcox, Marc. GIS technician, Southwest Data Center, Ridgway, CO. marc@landuse.com

Horn, Barbara. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Durango, CO. barb. horn@state.co.us

Kittel, G.; and Lederer, N. 1993. A Preliminary Classification of the Riparian Vegetation of the Yampa and San Miguel River Basins. A final report submitted to the Colorado Department of Health. The Nature Conservancy, Boulder, CO.

Kusler, J.; and Riexinger, P. 1985. A Method for Assessing the Function of Wetlands. Proceedings of the National Wetlands Assessments Symposium. Association of Wetlands Managers, Berne, NV

Luther, Linda. San Miguel Watershed Coalition, Placerville, CO. kbandll@rmi.net

Lyon, P. and Sovell, J. 2000. A Natural Heritage Assessment San Miguel and Western Montrose Counties, Colorado. Colorado Natural Heritage Program, Fort Collins, CO.

Madole, Richard 2000. Preliminary Report on the Geology and Recent Geologic History of the San Miguel River Valley, Southwestern Colorado. Report submitted to San Miguel County, San Miguel River Restoration Assessment; and to BLM, Montrose District Office, Montrose, CO. rmadole376@earthlink.net

Murphy, Dennis 2000. Hydrologist, US Bureau of Land Management, Montrose, CO. Personal Communication. dennis_murphy@co.blm.gov

Neely, Betsy 1999. San Miguel River Site Conservation Plan. The Nature Conservancy of Colorado, Boulder, CO.

Pizzi, Leslie. Consultant. Boulder, CO. lapizzi@coloradosantafe.com

Price, Camille. Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. Personal Communication. camille.price@state.co.us

Reed, A.; Higgins, J.; Wigington, R. 1998. Aquatic Community Classification Pilot for the San Miguel Watershed. The Nature Conservancy. Report Submitted to San Miguel River Restoration Assessment and San Miguel County, Telluride, CO.

San Miguel Watershed Coalition 1998. The San Miguel Watershed Plan. Placerville, CO.

Stedge, G.D.; and T. Feather, T. 2000. "Linking Environmental Project Outputs and Social Benefits: Bringing Economics, Ecology and Psychology Together". USEPA website publication.

Sullivan, Leigh. San Miguel River Ranger, jointly funded by United States Forest Service and US Bureau of Land Management, Norwood, CO. lsulli@rmi.net

Wigington, Robert. Western Water Attorney, The Nature Conservancy, Boulder, CO. rwigington@tnc.org

Wireman, Michael 2000. Ecosystem Protection Program, US Environmental Protection Agency, Denver, CO. Personal Communication. Wireman. mike@epamail.epa.gov

Willits, Patrick. Conservation Consulting. Ridgway, CO. $\underline{willits@} \\ \underline{independence}.net$

The San Miguel Watershed Coalition prides itself as being an organization that facilitates discussion between citizens and agencies. SMWC's work commonly provides easy-to-read scientific studies and opportunities to meet scientists and experts on important issues affecting the health of the San Miguel Basin. Pat Willits is Mayor of Ridgway and executive director of The Trust for Land Restoration.